

Intersection Manuscript Rubric: Empirical Papers (Last updated: 4/15/2024)

	Reject	Requires Major Revisions	Requires only Minor Revision	Requires Only Copy Edits
1. Research Questions	The research questions do not contribute to scholarship in this area	The research questions can contribute to scholarship in this area with major revision	The research questions can contribute to scholarship in this area with minor revision	The research questions clearly contribute to scholarship in this area
2. Development of Ideas	Illogical organization, major factual errors, and/or poor transitions	Some explanations are unclear and/or factually incorrect and/or transitions are abrupt	Occasionally, explanations are unclear or incorrect and/or transitions are abrupt	Logical organization with clear explanations and smooth transitions
3. Literature Review and Citations	The literature review is largely unrelated to the research question/hypothesis statement and/or lacks sufficient references	The literature review is insufficient (in providing necessary background information), poorly organized, and/or lacks sufficient references	The literature review is thorough but should improve organization and/or integrate more references	The literature review is thorough and adequate, given the objectives and content of the article
4. Key claims	Unsupported by data	Key claims are vague and are partially supported by data	Key claims need more explanation but are largely supported by data	Fully supported by data and explanations are clear
5. Methodology	It is inappropriate or incomplete	It has major flaws in research design, lacks evidentiary support, and/or the description lacks detail to be reproducible	It has minor flaws in research design and/or the description lacks detail to be reproducible	It is appropriate, applied properly, and described in enough detail to be reproducible
6. Conclusions	Do not link findings to the published literature and/or are not supported by the data	Loosely link findings back to the published literature and/or are minimally supported by the data	Mostly link findings back to the published literature and/or are supported by the data	Fully link findings back to the published literature and are supported by the data
7. Recommendations for future research or practice	The manuscript provides no future research or practical recommendations	The manuscript provides a few future research or practical recommendations, but major expansion is needed	The manuscript provides future research or practical recommendations, but minor expansion is needed	The manuscript provides future research or relevant and practical recommendations
8. Figures, Charts, Tables	Are inappropriate or do not contribute to the reader's understanding	Minimally contribute to the reader's understanding, or need to be added	Contribute to the reader's understanding but need edits.	Enhance the paper and contribute to the reader's understanding
9. APA Style	Not following APA style	Inconsistent use of APA style	Minor corrections are needed or may need to be updated to the latest version of APA	Fully follows APA style
10. Writing, Grammar, Spelling	Needs extensive copy editing	The paper contains multiple grammatical or spelling errors	Some copy editing is required.	The paper is professionally written, easy to read

Intersection Manuscript Rubric: Non-Empirical (Last updated: 4/15/2024)

	Reject	Requires Major Revisions	Requires only Minor Revision	Requires Only Copy Edits
1. Paper Focus/ Hypothesis	The research questions do not contribute to scholarship in this area	The research questions can contribute to scholarship in this area with major revision	The research questions can contribute to scholarship in this area with minor revision	The research questions clearly contribute to scholarship in this area
2. Development of Ideas	Illogical organization, major factual errors, and/or poor transitions	Some explanations are unclear and/or factually incorrect and/or transitions are abrupt	Occasionally, explanations are unclear or incorrect and/or transitions are abrupt	Logical organization with clear explanations and smooth transitions
3. Literature Review and Citations	The literature review is largely unrelated to the research question/hypothesis statement and/or lacks sufficient references	The literature review is insufficient (in providing necessary background information), poorly organized, and/or lacks sufficient references	The literature review is thorough but should improve organization and/or integrate more references.	The literature review is thorough and adequate, given the objectives and content of the article
4. Theoretical Framework	The theoretical framework does not support the paper's hypotheses or assumptions	The theoretical framework could be appropriate for the content but major clarification or revision is necessary	The theoretical framework could be appropriate for the content, but minor clarification or revision is necessary	The theoretical framework is appropriate for the content
5. Assumptions	The underlying assumptions supporting the key claims are absent	The underlying assumptions poorly support the key claims	The assumptions underlying the key claims are mostly detailed and appropriate	The assumptions underlying the key claims are fully detailed and appropriate
6. Conclusions	Do not link findings to the published literature and/or are not supported by the data	Loosely link findings back to the published literature and/or are minimally supported by the data	Mostly link findings back to the published literature and/ or are supported by the data	Fully link findings back to the published literature and are supported by the data
7. Recommendations	The manuscript provides no future research or practical recommendations	The manuscript provides a few future research or practical recommendations, but major expansion is needed	The manuscript provides future research or practical recommendations, but minor expansion is needed	The manuscript provides future research or relevant and practical recommendations
8. Figures, Charts, Tables	Are inappropriate or do not contribute to the reader's understanding	Minimally contribute to the reader's understanding, or need to be added	Contribute to the reader's understanding but need edits.	Enhance the paper and contribute to the reader's understanding
9. APA Style	Not following APA style	Inconsistent use of APA style	Minor corrections are needed or may need to be updated to the latest version of APA	Fully follows APA style
10. Writing, Grammar, Spelling	Needs extensive copy editing	The paper contains multiple grammatical or spelling errors	Some copy editing is required.	The paper is professionally written, easy to read